Microarray Analysis of Retroviral Restriction Factor Gene Expression in Response to Porcine Endogenous Retrovirus Infection
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Abstract

Microarray analysis has been used for screening genes involved in specific biological processes. Many studies have shown that restriction factors may play an important role in xenotransplantation safety, but it is still unclear whether porcine endogenous retroviruses (PERVs) may be inhibited by these factors. Therefore, the present study focused on the microarray analysis retroviral restriction factors gene expression in normal human dermal fibroblasts (NHDFs) in response to PERVs. PERV infectivity was analyzed using a co-culture system of NHDFs and porcine kidney epithelial cells (PK15 cell line). Detection of the copy number of PERV A, PERV B DNA and PERV A, PERV B RNA was performed using real-time Q-PCR and QRT-PCR. The expression of retroviral restriction factor genes was compared between PERV-infected and uninfected NHDF cells using oligonucleotide microarray. The up-regulated transcripts were recorded for two differentially expressed genes (TRIM1, TRIM16) with the use of GeneSpring platform and Significance Analysis of Microarrays. In conclusion, our results suggest that the TRIM family may play an important role in innate immunity to PERV infection. These results can allow a better understanding of restriction mechanism of PERV infection and probably design molecularly targeted therapies in the future. Moreover, knowledge of retroviral restriction factor gene expression in human cells may help to uncover strategies for determining their exact function. Microarray analyses seem to be promising in biological and biomedical studies, however, these results should be further confirmed by research conducted at the protein level.
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Introduction

The microarray is an advanced molecular biological technology which allows for the study of gene expression on a global level. This method has been used particularly for screening genes involved in specific biological processes such as pathogenesis of diseases or responses to environmental stimuli (Slonim and Yanai, 2009). During recent years, a lot of methods have been suggested for microarray data analysis, however the interpretation of these data is still a very challenging task (Chuaqui et al., 2002; Nguyen et al., 2002; Verducci et al., 2006).

Currently, experimental xenotransplantations using pig cells, tissues or organs are an interesting object of many studies (Dinsmore et al., 2000; Elliott et al., 2000; Takeuchi and Weiss 2000; Niculou et al., 2010). However, the transmission of infectious agents between species via a xenograft is possible (Michaels, 1998). Most microorganisms may be eliminated by pathogen-free breeding of the donor animals, whereas porcine endogenous retroviruses (PERVs) cannot, since these are integrated into the genomes of all pigs (Liu et al., 2011). According to PERV tropism, three subgroups can be distinguished: PERV-A and PERV-B, which can infect human cells in vitro, and PERV-C, which can infect only pig cells (Takeuchi et al., 1998; Moalic et al., 2006). Their pathogenic potential may be similar to that associated with exogenous gammaretroviruses, close relatives of PERV, such as feline leukemia virus, murine leukemia virus or and gibbon ape leukemia virus, which are able to induce tumors and immunodeficiencies in the infected host (Moalic et al., 2006).

The permissiveness of a host cell to viral infection can be determined by the presence or absence of restriction factors. Replication of retroviruses depends on the balance between cellular cofactors and internal antiviral restriction factors (Mous et al., 2012). The latter include: tripartite motif (TRIM) protein family, apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing catalytic polypeptides (APOBEC),
bone marrow stromal cell antigen 2 (BST-2, tetherin), sterile alpha motif and HD domain 1 (SAMHD1) or zinc finger antiviral protein (ZAP) (Meije et al., 2010; Laguette and Benkirane, 2012).

Recent studies have shown that these factors may also play an important role in safety of xenotransplantation (Meije et al., 2010), but it is still unclear whether PERVs may be inhibited by these factors (Abudu et al., 2006, Jónsson et al., 2007). Therefore, the present study focused on the microarray analysis of retroviral restriction factors gene expression in normal human dermal fibroblasts in response to porcine endogenous retroviruses.

**Experimental**

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture conditions.** Normal human dermal fibroblasts (NHDF cell line) were obtained from Clonetics (CC-2511; San Diego, CA, USA) and routinely maintained in FBM medium (Fibroblast Basal Medium, Lonza, Basel, Switzerland), supplemented with a human fibroblasts growth factor-basic (hFGF-B), insulin and gentamicin (FGM™ SingleQuots™; Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator (Direct Heat CO₂; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Normal porcine kidney epithelial cells (PK15 cell line) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC CCL-33) and routinely maintained in the DMEM medium (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium; Lonza, Basel, Switzerland), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) and gentamicin 25 mg/100 ml (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator (Direct Heat CO₂; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Both, the cell number and viability were monitored by cell counting in the Bürker chamber, after staining them with 0.2% trypsin blue (Biological Industries, Beit HaEmek, Israel). The experiment was performed on cells in the logarithmic phase of growth under condition of ≥ 98% viability assessed by trypsin blue exclusion.

**PERV transmission assay by co-culture technique.** PERV infectivity was analyzed in a co-culture system. NHDF cells were cultured into 6-well culture plates with inserts (Nunc, Wiesbaden, Germany) at density of 1 × 10⁵ cells per well. The PK15 cells were seeded into inserts (Greiner Bio-One, Wemmel, Belgium) at density of 5 × 10⁴ cells per insert. The co-cultures were maintained for five days and then, after changing the medium, the human cells were kept in monoculture for one day in order to exclude that the cell response is related to co-cultivation of porcine and human cells. Next, the cell monolayer were washed with PBS. Cells were pelleted and frozen at −70°C for 24 hours until nucleic acids extraction.

**Deoxiribonucleic and ribonucleic acid extraction.** Genomic DNA was isolated from harvested cells using a salting out extraction method (Cyganek-Niemiec et al., 2012). Total RNA was extracted using a TRIZol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA extracts were treated with DNase I (RNaseasy Mini Kit, Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The quality of extracts was checked electrophoretically using 0.9% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). The results were analyzed and recorded using the 1D Bas-Sys gel documentation system (Biotech-Fisher, Perth, Australia). Nucleic acids concentration was determined using a GeneQuant II RNA/DNA spectrophotometer (Pharmacia Biotech, Cambridge, UK).

**Real-time Q-PCR and QRT-PCR assay.** Detection of the copy number of PERV A, PERV B DNA and PERV A, PERV B RNA was performed as described previously (Cyganek-Niemiec et al., 2012; Kimsa et al., 2012). Since the detection of PERV sequences in the infected NHDF cells could depend on the presence of residual pig cells, specific detection of the porcine cellular GAPDH and mtDNA genes was carried out. hGAPDH was also included as an endogenous positive control of amplification and integrity of extracts. PK15 cells were used as a positive control for PERV A and PERV B sequences.

The analysis was performed using an Opticon™ DNA Engine Continuous Fluorescence Detector (MJ Research, Watertown, MA) and SYBR Green I chemistry (SYBR Green QuantiTect PCR Kit and SYBR Green Quantitect RT-PCR Kit; QIAGEN, Valencia, CA). All samples were tested in triplicate. Oligonucleotide primers specific for PERV A, PERV B, mtDNA, pGAPDH, hGAPDH were described previously by Bösch et al. (2000), Moon et al. (2010), Machnik et al. (2010) and Strzalka-Mrozik et al. (2010), respectively (Table I).

The thermal profile for Q-PCR was as follows: polymerase activation at 95°C for 15 min and then 40 cycles consisting of the following temperatures and time intervals: 94°C for 30 s, 65°C for 45 s and 72°C for 40 s. The thermal profile for one-step QRT-PCR was as follows: reverse transcription at 50°C for 30 min, polymerase activation at 95°C for 15 min and then 30 cycles consisting of the following temperatures and time intervals: 94°C for 30 s, 65°C for 45 s and 72°C for 40 s.

The point at which a PCR product is first detected above a fixed threshold, termed a cycle threshold (Ct), was determined for each sample. To quantify the results obtained by PCR and RT-PCR, a standard curve method was used, described previously by Cyganek-Niemiec et al. (2012) and Strzalka-Mrozik et al. (2010), respectively.
respectively. PCR products were separated on 6% polyacrylamide gels and visualized with silver salts. The obtained results of PERV DNA and RNA copy numbers were recalculated per 1000 cells.

**Oligonucleotide microarray analysis.** Total RNA was reversely transcribed and then the synthesis of biotynylated aRNA with the use of GeneChip 3’ IVT Express Kit (Affymetrix, Ca, USA) was performed. aRNA was fragmented with the use of GeneChip 3’ IVT Express Kit (Affymetrix, Ca, USA) and hybridized with the HG-U133A 2.0 (Affymetrix, Ca, USA). Staining with streptavidin-FITC was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Affymetrix, Ca, USA). Fluorescence intensity was measured with the use of Gene Chip Scanner 3000 7G and GeneChip® Command Console® Software (Affymetrix, Ca, USA).

**Statistical analyses.** Statistical analyses were performed using Statistica 9.0 software (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK), and the level of significance was set at p < 0.05. Values were expressed as means and standard deviation (SD).

Microarray data analysis was performed with the use of GeneSpring 12.0 platform (Agilent Technologies UK Limited, South Queensferry, UK) and Significance Analysis of Microarrays (SAM). Fluorescence intensity values of all 22 277 mRNA transcripts for HG-U133A 2.0 chips were simultaneously normalized using RMA algorithm (Robust Multiarray Average). Sample quality was assessed by performing 3D Principal Component Analysis (PCA), analysis of the normalized fluorescence signal values for hybridization control probes and the 3'/5' ratios for internal controls. For further study, 95 retroviral restriction factors transcripts for 58 genes were selected from the NetAffx Analysis Center database of Affymetrix (http://www.affymetrix.com/analysis/index.affx). The normalized microarray data were used to compile a list of selected retroviral restriction factor genes whose expression appeared to be up- or down-regulated by a cutoff of at least 1.2-fold change (FC). The unpaired t test was applied to detect differentially expressed genes at p-value < 0.05 when the analysis was performed by GeneSpring 12.0. The two class unpaired test was applied to ask if there were any differentially expressed genes when the analysis was performed by SAM with particular consideration to q-value and score parameters. The parameter of q-value indicates the lowest false discovery rate at which the gene is called significant and its value should be lower than 5%. SAM score is the t-statistic value and its value should be higher than 3.

Gene Ontology analysis was carried out with the PANTHER (Protein Analysis Through Evolutionary Relationships; http://www.pantherdb.org) Classification System database to classify genes based on their biological process and molecular function.

**Results**

**PERV infectivity.** In infected cultures, PERV A DNA was detected in NHDF cells (10.14 ± 7.6 copy number/1000 cells), whereas PERV B DNA was not found. In turn, both PERV A and PERV B RNA were observed in NHDF cells after co-cultures (637.30 ± 363.0 copy number/1000 cells; 77.00 ± 58.0 copy number/1000 cells, respectively). All samples were found to be negative for the porcine GAPDH and mtDNA which indicate that viral DNA in NHDF cells was not the result of DNA from dead disrupted PK15 cells.

**Quality control on microarray samples.** Based on the quality control results (PCA, hybridization controls and internal controls) there was found that all microarray samples were passed the quality criteria and were used to further analyses (data not shown).

**Differential expression of retroviral restriction factor genes.** The expression of retroviral restriction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Sequence of primers</th>
<th>Length of amplicon (bp)a</th>
<th>Tm (°C)b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERV env A</td>
<td>F: 5'-GAGATGGAAAGATTGGCAACACGCG-3' R: 5'-AGTGATGTTAGGCTCAGTGGGGAC-3'</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERV env B</td>
<td>F: 5'-AATTCTCCTTTTGTCAATTCGCCGCC-3' R: 5'-CCAGTACTTTATCGGGTCCCACTG-3'</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mtDNA</td>
<td>F: 5'-CTGAGGAGCTGGTCTCATCAAAAAAICTATCACT-3' R: 5'-TAGGGTGTGATCCTCGGTCCTTTCIIIIGGAAATGGA-3'</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>80.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGAPDH</td>
<td>F: 5'-TGCTGGCATCAATGCACC-3' R: 5'-TGACAGCTTCCCATTTCT-3'</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>80.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hGAPDH</td>
<td>F: 5'-GAAGGGTTGAGGTCCAGGATC-3' R: 5'-GAAGATGGTGATGGGATCTTTT-3'</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*bp – base pairs, °C – melting temperature, F – forward, R – reverse, I – inosine bases*
factor genes was compared between PERV-infected NHDF (NHDF PK15) and uninfected (NHDF) cells.

In the first step, the results obtained through the oligonucleotide microarray technique were analyzed using GeneSpring 12.0 platform. For 95 selected transcripts, 27 for 24 genes expressed more than 1.2-fold change in PERV-infected cells in relation to uninfected. Among these genes, only three were statistically significant differentially expressed (t test, p < 0.05), out of which one gene had FC > 1.5 (Figure 1). The up-regulated transcripts were recorded for TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM48 (Table II).

In the next step of research, there was performed independent confirmation of data analysis with the use of SAM. The comparison between NHDF PK15 and NHDF samples, demonstrated significant differences in the expression of 15 transcripts for 14 genes (Figure 2). Nine transcripts for eight genes were statistically significant at q-value < 5 and score > 3, out of which 7 genes had FC > 1.2. Among these genes, only one gene had FC > 1.5. The up-regulated transcripts were recorded for 5 genes (TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM29, SAMHD1, PML) and the down-regulated transcripts were found for 2 genes (TRIM27, HNRNPAB) (Table II).

Only 2 genes (TRIM1 and TRIM16) simultaneously achieved criteria of GeneSpring and SAM for typing the genes of significant differences in transcriptional activity (Table II).

### Table II

Comparison of characteristics of retroviral restriction factor genes which exhibit differential expression in PERV-infected NHDF cells versus control determined by two methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>FC\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>FC\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>q-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>202504_at</td>
<td>TRIM29</td>
<td>tripartite motif-containing 29</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1.26\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211588_s_at</td>
<td>TRIM19 (PML)</td>
<td>tripartite motif-containing protein 19</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1.27\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204502_at</td>
<td>SAMHD1</td>
<td>SAM domain and HD domain-containing protein 1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1.23\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201277_s_at</td>
<td>HNRNPAB</td>
<td>heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A/B</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1.26\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212118_at</td>
<td>TRIM27</td>
<td>tripartite motif-containing 27</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1.23\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204341_at</td>
<td>TRIM16</td>
<td>tripartite motif-containing protein 16</td>
<td>3.75\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>4.49\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>21.29</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209733_at</td>
<td>TRIM1 (MID2)</td>
<td>tripartite motif-containing protein 1</td>
<td>1.26\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208384_s_at</td>
<td>TRIM1 (MID2)</td>
<td>tripartite motif-containing protein 1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1.36\textsuperscript{†}</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genes with FC in bold achieved criteria of GeneSpring and/or SAM for typing the genes of significant differences in expression

\textsuperscript{a}FC – fold change

\textsuperscript{b}↑, ↓ – higher and lower expression in PERV-infected NHDF cells versus control

\textsuperscript{c}NS – not significant
Gene ontology analysis of 10 differentially expressed transcripts (GeneSpring and SAM) identified 4 subgroups based on molecular function and 10 subgroups based on biological processes (Table III).

**Discussion**

In the context of pig-to-human xenotransplantation, the potential risk of zoonotic infection by porcine endogenous retroviruses: PERV A, PERV B and PERV C has been highlighted (Scobie and Takeuchi, 2009). PERV C infection appears to be only limited to pigs, whereas PERV A and PERV B can also infect human cells *in vitro* (Takeuchi *et al.*, 1998; Li *et al.*, 2006).

In the present study, provirus integration and expression of PERVs in human cells were detected by real time QPCR and QRT-PCR techniques. The presence of PERV A DNA and PERV A, PERV B RNA in NHDF cells may indicate successful PERV infection. Lack of PERV B DNA can be explained by differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Molecular Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNRNPAB, TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM29, PML</td>
<td>binding → protein binding; nucleic acid binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNRNPAB, TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM29, PML, SAMHD1</td>
<td>catalytic activity → RNA splicing factor activity; transesterification mechanism; hydrolase activity; ligase activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNRNPAB, TRIM16, TRIM29</td>
<td>structural molecule activity → structural constituent of cytoskeleton; structural constituent of ribosome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM29, PML</td>
<td>transcription regulator activity → transcription cofactor activity; transcription factor activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Biological Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM29, PML</td>
<td>cell communications → cell-cell signaling; signal transduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNRNPAB, TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM29, PML</td>
<td>cell cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM29, PML</td>
<td>cellular component organization → organelle organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNRNPAB, TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM29, PML, SAMHD1</td>
<td>cellular process → cell communications; cell cycle; cellular component organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNRNPAB, TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM29, PML</td>
<td>developmental process → ectoderm development; mesoderm development; pattern specification process; system development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAMHD1</td>
<td>immune system process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNRNPAB, TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM29, PML</td>
<td>metabolic process → primary metabolic process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNRNPAB, TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM29, PML</td>
<td>reproduction → gamete generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNRNPAB, TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM29, PML, SAMHD1</td>
<td>system process → neurological system process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIM1, TRIM16, TRIM29, PML</td>
<td>transport → protein transport; vesicle-mediated transport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in the sensitivity of the PCRs and the amount of transmitted viruses. Our results revealed that human dermal fibroblasts (NHDF cell line) are permissive for PERV infection, which is consistent with the findings obtained by Takeuchi et al. (1998) and Blusch et al. (2000). These authors also studied skin fibroblast cell lines, but derived from non-human primates.

The cell environment is endowed with a number of factors that actively block different stages in the pathogenic lifecycle. Viruses, which are unable to counter these intrinsic immunity factors in a cell, are blocked in their replication (Pineda et al., 2007, Wolf and Goff, 2008). While we were launching our study, we could not find any published reports on the gene expression in human cells infected with PERVs. Our attention focused on PERV influence on expression of internal retroviral restriction factor genes, so as to help assess the risk of PERV zoonosis during xenotransplantation. Microarray data revealed that genes associated with retroviral activity, which have altered expression profile in PERV-infected cells compared to uninfected, belonged mainly to the TRIM family. In our research, there were selected differentially expressed genes by a cutoff of at least 1.2-fold change. Many studies have also applied a fold-change cutoff from 1.2 to 4.0 FC (McCarthy and Smyth, 2009). According to the 1.2 fold change cutoff of expression alterations observed in NHDF cell, more quantitative technique and larger sample sizes will be needed to be able to investigate whether significant single genes are differentially expressed in PERV-infected versus non-infected cells. Among these genes, TRIM1, TRIM19 have been demonstrated to be antiretroviral effector proteins (Kajaste-Rudnitski et al., 2010). Yap et al. (2004) postulated that TRIM1 inhibits N-tropic murine leukemia virus (N-MLV). Uchil et al. (2008) observed that TRIM19 had a broad antiviral activities and affected the entry or release of human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV), murine leukemia virus (MLV), avian leukosis virus (ALV), human foamy virus, influenza A virus, human cytomegalovirus or herpes simplex type 1.

In PERV-infected human cells, overexpression of TRIM16 was also observed. Bell et al. (2012) showed that TRIM16 homodimerized through its coiled-coil domain and heterodimerized with other TRIM family members: TRIM24, promyelocytic leukemia (PML) protein and midline-1 (MID1). Thus, increased expression of this gene may suggest its role in antiretroviral activity.

Our research also showed that the expression of genes associated with antiretroviral activity, but not belonging to the protein of the TRIM family, was changed in PERV-infected cells. A statistically significant increase of SAMHD1 expression may confirm its role as retroviral restriction factor, which is according with results performed by Laguette and Benkirane (2012). The results of the our study revealed that expression level of HNRNPAB was lower in PERV-infected cells than in uninfected, as well Lau et al. (1997) indicated that this protein interacts specifically with APOBEC1 and may play an important role in apoB mRNA editing. Previous reports postulated that an intrinsic immune defense is dependent on APOBEC3 protein family, which may act as restriction factors against broad range of both exogenous and endogenous retroviruses, including PERVs (Esnault et al., 2006; Wichroski et al., 2006; Jónsson et al., 2007). However, it seems that APOBEC1 also may be active against virus infection. Gee et al. (2011) demonstrated that in rat cells stably expressing APOBEC1, herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) infection resulted in significantly reduced virus replication compared to that in control cells. This observation remains in agreement with a study performed by Ikeda et al. (2008), who confirmed that APOBEC1 may function as a defense mechanism, regulating retroelements in a wide range of mammalian species. Although currently activity of human APOBEC1 seems to be exclusively limited to mediate apoB mRNA editing, giving rise to two proteins with different sizes in the gastrointestinal tissues that function in transport and metabolism of lipids (Ikeda et al., 2008).

Our findings suggest that PERV infection of human cells can cause activation of cellular antiretroviral factors, mainly proteins of TRIM family. Microarray experiments result in very large amounts of data, which are difficult to interpret. The identification of differentially expressed genes is still the major goal of microarray-based expression studies. Various modern bioinformatics tools can improve the outcome of microarray analyses. Therefore in our research, two methods were used in order to typify genes which exhibit differential expression. A first approach to identify differentially expressed genes is known as the fold change estimation (FC). It evaluates the average log-ratio between two groups and considers as differentially expressed all genes that differ by more than an arbitrary cutoff (Fadiel and Naftolin, 2003; Jeanmougin et al., 2010). In the present study, first we selected genes which expression appeared to be up- or down-regulated by an arbitrary at least 1.2-fold cutoff. In the next step microarray analysis statistical tests should be used because FC does not take the variance of the samples into account, according to the Fadiel and Naftolin (2003) and Jeanmougin et al. (2010). In our research, there was performed independent confirmation of GeneSpring data analysis with the use of SAM. Thus, it can be excluded that identification of differentially expressed genes was random. However, our results demonstrate some differences among both used methods for the detection of
differentially expressed genes. Similarly to our study, Dumeaux et al. (2006) also used two different methods in order to selection of statistically significant differentially expressed genes. These authors only revealed five differentially expressed genes by both methods.

In conclusion, our results suggest that the TRIM family may play an important role in innate immunity to PERV infection. These results can allow a better understanding of the restriction mechanism of PERV infection and probably the of design molecularly targeted therapies in the future. Moreover, knowledge of retroviral restriction factor gene expression in human cells may help to uncover strategies for determining their exact function. Microarray analyses seem to be promising in biological and biomedical studies, however, these results should be further confirmed by research conducted at protein level.

Disclosure Statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the grant No. KNW.2-031/D/3/N from Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland and by the project no. NR 12 0036 06, which was financed from 2009 to 2013 by the National Centre for Research and Development in Poland.

Literature


