Polish Journal of Microbiology 2017, Vol. 66, No 2, 245–250

ORIGINAL PAPER

# *In vitro* Interaction between Fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> and the Intestinal Microflora of Pigs

HUU ANH DANG<sup>1\*</sup>, ATTILA ZSOLNAI<sup>1</sup>, MELINDA KOVACS<sup>1,2</sup>, ISTVÁN BORS<sup>2</sup>, ANDRÁS BÓNAI<sup>1</sup>, BRIGITTA BÓTA<sup>2</sup> and JUDIT SZABÓ-FODOR<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Kaposvár University, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Kaposvár, Hungary <sup>2</sup>MTA-KE Mycotoxins in the Food Chain Research Group, Kaposvár University, Kaposvár, Hungary

Submitted 21 November 2016 and 12 January 2017 accepted

### Abstract

The caecal chyme of pigs was incubated anaerobically in McDougall buffer with and without fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> (5 µg/ml) for 0, 24 and 48 h. The plate count agar technique was applied for enumerating the amount of bacteria including aerobic, anaerobic bacteria, coliform, *Escherichia coli* and *Lactobacillus* sp. The quantitative polymerase chain reaction was also performed to estimate the number of copies of the total bacteria, *Lactobacillus*, *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella*. No significant differences in the amount of bacterial groups between the experimental (buffer, chyme, and fumonisin B<sub>1</sub>) and control 1 groups (buffer + chyme) were observed in both methods. Fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> and hydrolysed fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> concentration were analysed by liquid chromatograghy – mass spectrometry. There was no significant difference in FB<sub>1</sub> concentration between the experimental and the control 2 group (buffer and fumonisin B<sub>1</sub>) at 0 h incubation,  $5.185 \pm 0.174 \,\mu$ g/ml compared with  $6.433 \pm 0.076 \,\mu$ g/ml. Fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> concentration in the experimental group was reduced to  $4.080 \pm 0.065 \,\mu$ g/ml at 24 h and to  $2.747 \pm 0.548 \,\mu$ g/ml at 48 h incubation and was significantly less than that of in the control group. Hydrolysed fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> was detected after 24 h incubation ( $0.012 \pm 0 \,\mu$ g/ml). At 48 h incubation time, hydrolysed fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> concentration was doubled to  $0.024 \pm 0.004 \,\mu$ g/ml. These results indicate that fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> can be metabolised by caecal microbiota in pigs though the number of studied bacteria did not change.

Key words: caecal microbiota, fumonisin B<sub>1</sub>, intestinal microflora pig

#### Introduction

The fumonisins are known as important mycotoxins produced mostly by several Fusarium species which are found mainly in maize and its products all over the world. Because of the similarity between fumonisins and the sphingoid bases sphinganine (Sa) and sphingosine (So), fumonisin disrupts the sphingolipid metabolism and impacts on animal health. Pigs are high sensitive species to fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> (FB<sub>1</sub>), the lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) of fumonisin is 200 µg/kg b.w. per day (EFSA, 2005). It is very important to know the activities of fumonisin from the digestion on the cell systems. However, only a few studies have been conducted to determine fumonisin activities in the gastrointestinal tract of pigs, especially interaction between fumonisin and gut microbiota. Only one report showed the fumonisin was metabolised by the caecal microorganism (Fodor et al., 2007) while there was no complete research about the effect of fumonisins on the intestinal microbiota in pigs. Some in vivo, not in vitro studies were carried out to estimate the impact of fumonisin on individual microbial species such as Escherichia coli and Salmonella sp. According to the results, intestinal colonization of pathogenic E. coli strain was significantly increased when pigs were treated with 0.5 mg of FB<sub>1</sub>/kg of body weight for 7 days (Oswald et al., 2003) while no change of Salmonella enterica growth was presented in pig intestine with the moderate dose of fumonisin (8.6 mg FB, and 3.2 mg FB, per kilogram feeding intake), it transiently affected the digestive microbiota balance (Burel et al., 2013). It is essential to have more information about the impact of fumonisin mycotoxin on gastrointestinal microbiota in pigs. The gut microbiota balance should be concerned as a crucial factor keeping body health. From the gut microbiota ecosystem point of view, it is good to understand the activities of all kinds of bacteria rather than one or few particular types of them when treated with fumonisins. In the presented in vitro study, we investigated the interaction between FB, and caecal microorganism in pigs i.) to seek signs

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: H.A. Dang, Kaposvár University, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Kaposvár, Hungary; e-mail: bro.fvm.hua@gmail.com

of biological effect on  $FB_1$  induced by gut microbiota and ii.) to determine the impact of  $FB_1$  on the growth of pig caecal bacteria.

#### Experimental

### Materials and Methods

**Sampling and processing.** Samples of caecal content were collected from adult pigs (n = 2; Hungarian large white race) right after slaughtering from a slaughter house and transferred into sterile bottles. The bottles were put in anaerobic plastic bags with Anaerocult gas generator (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The pre-incubated ( $24 h/37^{\circ}$ C/anaerobic) McDougall buffer solution (9.8 g NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 3.7 g anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.57 g KCl, 0.47 g NaCl, 0.12 g MgSO<sub>4</sub> · 7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.04 g CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 1000 ml aquadest; pH 8.3) were prepared to homogenise samples and make the solution for control groups.

The experiment was designed to 3 groups as shown in Table I. Two control groups were set up. The first controls contained buffer and chyme, while the second controls were prepared including buffer and FB<sub>1</sub>.

Caecal chyme was homogenised and divided into the first control and experimental groups. An aliquot of 3.33 g of caecal chyme was suspended in pre-incubated McDougal buffer tubes (experimental and the first control group). After a pre-incubation for four hours at  $37^{\circ}$ C, FB<sub>1</sub> (50 µg/g; Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) was added to each tube (experimental and the second control groups) to get a final concentration of 5 µg/ml. Samples were taken at 0, 24 and 48 h of anaerobic incubation for determination of bacterial numbers and FB<sub>1</sub> concentration.

Media and enumeration methods. The plate count technique on selected media was applied for determining the amount of bacteria. Approximately 1 g of post-incubated sample was collected and subsequently homogenised with 9 ml of peptone salt solution. Then the 10-folds series dilution was conducted from  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-8}$ . An aliquot (100 µl) was pipetted and add on the surface of each respective selected agar to culture bac-

teria. Five groups of bacteria were enumerated including aerobic bacteria, anaerobic bacteria, coliform, *E. coli* and *Lactobacillus* sp. The aerobic and anaerobic bacteria were cultured in commercial blood agar (BA; Bak-Teszt Ltd., Budapest, Hungary). Coliform and *E. coli* population were estimated on ChromoBio Coliform Agar (BioLab). The amount of *Lactobacillus* sp. was determined by using MRS agar (BioLab).

The colony forming units/g (CFU/g) were calculated using the formula:

 $N = \Sigma C/V x 1$ , 1xd

- $\Sigma C$  is the sum of the colonies counted on the two dishes retained from two successive dilutions, at least one of which contains a minimum of 10 colonies
- V is the volume of inoculums placed in each dish, in millilitres
- d is the dilution corresponding to the first dilution retained

**DNA extraction and QPCR.** The DNA extraction was carried out with approximately 200 mg of the frozen caecal sample using the QIAamp<sup>®</sup>DNA Stool Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentrations were measured using Smart Spec Plus Spectrophotometer (Maestrogen Inc.) based on the ratio of absorbance at 260 nm and 280 nm.

The standard curve was created by dilution series of purified PCR products for *Lactobacillus* sp. while the dilution series of plasmid concentration were used to prepare the standard curve for total bacteria, *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella*.

The quantity of total bacteria, *Lactobacillus* sp., *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella*, were determined by Quantitative PCR (QPCR) using SYBR Green. The primers for investigated bacterial groups were selected based on previous literature (Table II). QPCR was conducted in a 25  $\mu$ /tube reaction mixture containing 12.5  $\mu$ l Brillant II SYBR QPCR Low Rox Master Mix (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA), 0.2  $\mu$ M of each primer, 10.5  $\mu$ l sterile DEPC treated distilled water and 1  $\mu$ l of DNA extract. The PCR program consisted of 10 min at 95°C, 40 cycles of 30 sec at 95°C, 1 min at 60°C. All samples were measured in triplicates. The bacterial content of the sam-

Table I
Experimental design.

Incubation (h)/treatment	Experimental group (Buffer+Chyme+FB <sub>1</sub> )	Control 1 group (Buffer+Chyme)	Control 2 group (Buffer+FB <sub>1</sub> )
0 h	4	4	4
24 h	4	4	4
48 h	4	4	4
Description	12×3,33 g chyme	12×3,33 g chyme	12×9 ml buffer
	12×5,67 ml buffer	$12 \times 5,67$ ml buffer	$12 \times 1 \text{ ml} 50 \mu\text{g/g} \text{FB}_1$
	$12 \times 1 \text{ ml } 50 \mu\text{g/g FB}_1$	1 ml H <sub>2</sub> O	

Investigated group	Oligonucleotide sequence (5'-3')	Amplicon length (bp)	Refs.
Total bacteria	Fwd: GCAGGCCTAACACATGCAAGTC Rev: CTGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT	292	Castillo <i>et al.</i> , 2006; Marchesi <i>et al.</i> , 1998 Amann <i>et al.</i> , 1995
Bacteroides and Prevotella	Fwd: GAAGGTCCCCCACATTG Rev: CAATCGGAGTTCTTCGTG	418	Kim, 2011 Bartosch <i>et al.</i> , 2004
Lactobacillus sp.	Fwd: AGCAGTAGGGAATCTTCCA Rev: CACCGCTACACATGGAG	340	Su <i>et al.</i> , 2008; Walter <i>et al.</i> , 2000 Heilig <i>et al.</i> , 2002

 Table II

 Oligonucleotide sequences used for QPCR in caecal samples from pigs.

ples was calculated by comparison with the standard curve derived from dilution series. The obtained copy numbers of the samples were adjusted to one gram of caecum contents.

**Mycotoxin extraction and analysis.** For FB<sub>1</sub> extraction, the post-incubated samples from the experimental group and the control 2 group (Table I) were diluted 2-fold (7 ml sample and 7 ml distilled water) and centrifuged for 5 minutes (3000 rpm). The supernatant was used for FB<sub>1</sub> extraction followed by the modified protocol of Sep-Pak C18 cartridges (Waters Co., Milford, MA, USA) (Szabó-Fodor *et al.*, 2014). The preconditioning column was conducted with 2 ml of methanol then 2 ml of distilled water. The diluted chyme (2 ml) was subsequently loaded onto the columns then washed again with 2 ml of distilled water. The elution of FB<sub>1</sub> was completed by 2 ml of water/acetonitrile mixture, 1:1 v/v.

Liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis were performed by a Shimadzu Prominence UFLC separation system equipped with an LC-MS-2020 single quadrupole (ultra-fast) liquid chromatograph mass spectrometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) with the electrospray source. Optimised mass spectra were obtained with an interface voltage of 4.5 kV, a detector voltage of 1.05 kV in negative mode, 1.25 kV in positive mode. Samples were analysed on a Phenomenex Kinetex 2.6 µ X-C18 column (100 mm × 2.1 mm). The column temperature was set to 50°C; the flow rate was 0.3 ml/minute. The gradient elution was performed using LC-MS grade water (VWR Hungary, Debrecen) (eluent A) and methanol (eluent B), both acidified with 0.1% acetic acid. One µl of each samples were analysed with a gradient: (0 min) 5% B, (3 min) 60% B, (8 min) 100% B, followed by a holding time of 3 min at 100% eluent B and 3 min column re-equilibration at eluent A. Romer Mix 3 (containing  $FB_1 + FB_2$  at 50 mg/l) and HFB, primary stock solution used as reference. MS parameters: source block temperature 90°C; desolvation temperature 250°C; heat block temperature 200°C; drying gas flow 15.0 l/min. Detection was performed using selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode.

The efficiency of  $FB_1$  conversion to fully hydrolysed  $FB_1$  (HFB<sub>1</sub>) was calculated on the basis of the mole-

cular weight of the compounds (FB<sub>1</sub>: 721 g/mol; HFB<sub>1</sub>: 405 g/mol) and described as below:

 $\frac{\text{Hydrolysed fumonisin B1 (mol/g)} \times 721 \text{ g/mol}}{405 \text{ g/mol x Fumonisin B1 (mol/g)}}$ 

**Statistical analysis.** The R i386 3.1.2 program was applied for statistical analyses. The comparative means were performed by Independent Samples t-Test, one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test and non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test if the normal distribution was not presented.

## **Results and Discussion**

Effect of microflora on fumonisin  $B_1$ . At 0 h incubation time, no significant FB<sub>1</sub> concentration difference between the experimental group (buffer, caecal content, FB<sub>1</sub>) and control 2 group (buffer, FB<sub>1</sub>) was observed;  $5.185 \pm 0.175 \,\mu$ g/ml compared with  $6.433 \pm 0.076 \,\mu$ g/ml, respectively. FB<sub>1</sub> concentration in experimental groups was significant lower than control-2 group after 24 h and 48 h incubation period,  $4.080 \pm 0.065 \,\mu$ g/ml and  $2.747 \pm 0.548 \,\mu$ g/ml compared to  $6,338 \pm 0,108 \,\mu$ g/ml and  $4.587 \pm 0.085 \,\mu$ g/ml, respectively. FB<sub>1</sub> concentration time in the experimental group (Fig. 1). HFB<sub>1</sub> concentration has



Fig. 1. Fumonisin  $B_1$  concentration in experimental groups and control 2 groups during the incubation time.



Fig. 2. Hydrolysed fumonisin  $B_1$  concentration in experimental groups during the incubation time.

also been determined at different incubation times. Due to the appearance of main products of the metabolism  $(HFB_1)$  only in the experimental group (Fig. 2), we can conclude that  $FB_1$  may be metabolised by microbiota in the caecum of the pig.

The capability of bacteria to influence fumonisins was by Niderkorn et al. (2009) and Zoghi et al., (2014). Peptidoglycan, the component of bacterial cell wall, plays a crucial role in binding many mycotoxins including fumonisins. Lactobacillus sp. is the class of bacteria having a significant impact on fumonisins. The FB, level in maize was decreased by lactic acid bacterial activity after 3-day fermentation (Mokoena et al., 2005). To determine the effect of the microorganism to fumonisins, most of the studies were conducted to estimate the impact of bacteria to fumonisin produced by Fusarium sp. such as binding or inhibition of fumonisin production while few of them were concerned with fumonisin metabolism. The concentration of FB, was reduced by Lactobacillus paracasie subsp. Paracasie after 20-day incubation (70.5 µl/ml compared with 300 µl/ml FB, in the control group) and L. paracasie subsp. Paracasie could inhibit FB, production in

a 10-day incubation period (Gomah and Zohri, 2014). Becker *et al.* (1997) reported that  $FB_1$  was not degraded by *Enterococcus faecium* while the binding of  $FB_1$  and  $FB_2$ , up to 24 and 62%, respectively by *Enterococcus* sp. was determined (Niderkorn *et al.*, 2007).

In agreement with former results reported by Fodor *et al.* (2007), the conversion of FB<sub>1</sub> to HFB<sub>1</sub> was less than 1% where there was no change in the degree of the conversion of FB<sub>1</sub> to aminopentol (fully hydrolysed FB<sub>1</sub>). In this study, conversion of FB<sub>1</sub> to HFB<sub>1</sub> increased significantly from 0.33% to 0.66% after 24 h and 48 h incubation time, respectively. Differences in the HFB<sub>1</sub> related results can be explained on the basis of the different bacterial ecosystem in the gut of experimental pigs. The various structures of gut microbiota may be derived from different diets, time of the sampling or individual enterotypes of the porcine gut microbiota (Pajarillo *et al.*, 2014; Frese *et al.*, 2015).

Effect of fumonisin B, on caecal microbiota in pigs. Five groups of bacteria were quantitatively determined by microbial culturing including aerobic bacteria, anaerobic bacteria, coliform, E. coli and Lactobacil*lus* sp. There was no significant difference in the groups without FB, during the period of the incubation time except the anaerobic bacteria group. The log<sub>10</sub> number of anaerobic bacteria decreased from  $9.046 \pm 0.036$  (0 h incubation) to  $8.389 \pm 0.143$  (48 h incubation) (Table III). In the caecal bacteria with FB<sub>1</sub> groups, reduction of the log<sub>10</sub> number of anaerobic bacteria were identified, from  $9.017 \pm 0.054$  to  $8.340 \pm 0.082$ , while there was an increase in Lactobacillus sp. group from  $7.764 \pm 0.040$ to  $8.006 \pm 0.106$  after 48 h incubation (Table III). Nonetheless, there was no detectable change in microbial culturing method between the groups of caecal bacteria with and without FB, during the incubation time.

The quantitative PCR was also performed to determine the effect of  $FB_1$  on total bacteria, *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella* and *Lactobacillus* sp. The  $log_{10}$  copy-numbers were applied for data analysis (Table IV). The  $log_{10}$  of

<b>T</b> .1	. 1	-	т.	r٦
1.2	n	$\boldsymbol{\rho}$		
1 41		· • •		

Number of bacteria in the pigs' caecal chyme incubated with (experimental group) and without (control 1 group) fumonisin  $B_1$  measured by culturing (log<sub>10</sub> CFU<sup>1</sup>/g, means ±SD).

Bacteria -	Period of the incubation time						
	0 hour		24 h	nour	48 hour		
	Experimental group	Control 1	Experimental group	Control 1	Experimental group	Control 1	
Aerobic	$7.49\pm0.09$	$7.58\pm0.07$	$7.55 \pm 0.15$	$7.49 \pm 0.258$	$7.26 \pm 0.22$	$7.31\pm0.19$	
Anaerobic	$9.02\pm0.05^{\circ}$	$9.05\pm0.04^{\circ}$	$8.74 \pm 0.19^{b}$	$8.76\pm0.05^{\rm b}$	$8.34 \pm 0.08^{a}$	$8.39\pm0.14^{\rm a}$	
E. coli	$5.89 \pm 0.07$	$5.87\pm0.07$	$5.58 \pm 0.11$	$5.99 \pm 0.33$	$6.16 \pm 0.83$	$5.87\pm0.66$	
Coliforms	$5.33 \pm 0.06$	$5.39\pm0.12$	$5.44 \pm 0.11$	$5.69 \pm 0.29$	$5.99 \pm 0.86$	$5.84\pm0.55$	
Lactobacillus	$7.76 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$7.87\pm0.09$	$7.99\pm0.06^{\rm b}$	$8.04 \pm 0.09$	$8.01 \pm 0.11^{b}$	$7.93 \pm 0.12$	

<sup>1</sup>CFU: colony forming unit

<sup>a, b, c</sup> significant (P < 0.01) difference between incubation times within groups.

Table IV
Number of bacteria in the pigs' caecal chyme incubated with (experimental group) and without (control 1 group) fumonisin B,
measured by QPCR ( $\log_{10}$ copies number/g, means ±SD).

Bacteria	Period of the incubation time					
	0 hour		24 hour		48 hour	
Ductoriu	Experimental group	Control 1	Experimental group	Control 1	Experimental group	Control 1
Total bacteria	$11.52 \pm 0.16^{\rm a}$	$11.33\pm0.38$	$11.91\pm0.03^{\rm b}$	$11.68\pm0.21$	$11.79\pm0.05^{\rm b}$	$11.66 \pm 0.13$
Bacteroides and Prevotella	$7.41 \pm 0.14^{a}$	$7.32\pm0.28^{\rm a}$	$7.83\pm0.13^{\rm b}$	$7.95\pm0.16^{\rm b}$	$7.97\pm0.11^{\rm b}$	$7.83\pm0.12^{\rm b}$
Lactobacillus	$9.80\pm0.25^{\rm a}$	$9.61\pm0.40^{\rm a}$	$11.23\pm0.17^{\text{b}}$	$11.35\pm0.11^{\mathrm{b}}$	$11.33\pm0.14^{\text{b}}$	$11.13\pm0.15^{\text{b}}$

 $^{a, b}$  significant (P < 0.05) difference between incubation times within groups.

*Lactobacillus, Bacteroides* and *Prevotella* in control 1 and experimental groups augmented after 24 h incubation (P < 0.05). A number of total bacteria was stable during the incubation time in the control groups while there was an increase in the experimental group from 11.520 at 0 h to 11.912 at the 24 h incubation. However, no significant difference between the control groups and the experimental groups in all kinds of investigated bacteria was observed. FB<sub>1</sub> did not affect the number of caecal bacteria in pigs.

As we have detected both in the microbial culture and in QPCR experiment during the incubation time, the anaerobic bacteria decreased while the amount of *Lactobacillus* sp. increased. According to QPCR results the amount of *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella* also increased. The primary difference between the results of two methods is that anaerobic bacteria enumerating by culture is alive organisms whereas *Lactobacillus* sp., *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella* estimating by QPCR based on DNA copy-number. The decline of other, not investigated anaerobic bacterial species (*i.e. Clostridium* sp.), might be another reason in this situation. Further experiments should be focused on other kinds of anaerobic bacteria or all bacterial species using a next generation sequencing approach.

To the best of our knowledge, there was is no complete report about the effect of fumonisin on caecal bacteria in pigs. Becker et al. (1997) isolated some strains of Lactobacillus sp. from pig intestine and determined the effect of FB,  $(50 \text{ and } 500 \,\mu\text{M})$  on the growth of these strain by turbidometric Bioscreen system. As shown in the report, no difference in the growth kinetics between the experimental and control groups was observed. The DNA of E. coli was not affected by FB, (Knasmüller et al., 1997) and the number of E. coli showed no change in the presence of FB, in this study. However, the intestinal colonisation by pathogenic E. coli in pigs treated FB<sub>1</sub> was strengthened in an *in vivo* experiment (Oswald et al., 2003). The indirect impact of fumonisin on bacteria was also demonstrated in some documents; because of immune suppressive effects and decrease the specific antibody response of pathogenic microorganisms (Taranu *et al.*, 2005; Iheshiulor *et al.*, 2011), fumonisin can influence activities of colonised bacteria in the body such as *E. coli* and *Salmonella* sp. (Deshmukh *et al.*, 2005; Burel *et al.*, 2013).

Fumonisin  $B_1$  was metabolised by pig caecal microorganisms. The reduction of FB<sub>1</sub> concentration in chyme containing groups was sharper than it was in control 2 group. FB<sub>1</sub> concentration decreased while the HFB<sub>1</sub> increased. The amount of screened bacterial species has not changed between the groups with and without FB<sub>1</sub>. During the period of incubation, the total number of cultured anaerobic bacteria decline while *Lactobacillus* sp. increased. Anaerobic bacteria such as *Lactobacillus* sp., *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella* had tended to increase revealed by QPCR. FB<sub>1</sub> did not impact on the growth of investigated bacteria. Other kinds of microorganisms should be concerned in the similar experiments and the interaction between fumonisins and gut microbiota in the *in vivo* experiments is to be conducted.

#### Acknowledgement

The research was supported by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (within the frame of the MTA-KE "Mycotoxins in the food chain" Research Group) and by the Bolyai János Research Grant (BO\_499\_13 to J. Sz-F.)

#### Literature

Amann R.I., W. Ludwig and K.H. Schleifer. 1995. Phylogenetic identification and in situ detection of individual microbial cells without cultivation. *Microbiol. Rev.* 59: 143–169.

**Bartosch S., A. Fite, G.T. Macfarlane and M.E.T. McMurdo.** 2004. Characterization of bacterial communities in feces from healthy elderly volunteers and hospitalized elderly patients by using Real-Time PCR and effects of antibiotic treatment on the fecal microbiota. *Appl. Envirom. Microbiol.* 70: 3575–3581.

**Becker B., H. Bresch, U. Schillinger and P.G. Thiel.** 1997. The effect of fumonisin  $B_1$  on the growth of bacteria. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 13(5): 539–543.

Burel C., M. Tanguy, P. Guerre, E. Boilletot, R. Cariolet, M. Queguiner, G. Postollec, P. Pinton, G. Salvat, I.P. Oswald and others. 2013. Effect of low dose of fumonisins on pig health: Immune status, intestinal microbiota and sensitivity to *Salmonella*. *Toxins* 5(4): 841–864.

**Castillo M., S.M. Martín-Orúe, E.G. Manzanilla, I. Badiola, M. Martín and J. Gasa.** 2006. Quantification of total bacteria, enterobacteria and lactobacilli populations in pig digesta by realtime PCR. *Vet. Microbiol.* 114(1–2): 165–170.

**Deshmukh S., R.K. Asrani, N. Jindal, D.R. Ledoux, G.E. Rottinghaus, M. Sharma and S.P. Singh.** 2005. Effects of *Fusarium monili-forme* culture material containing known levels of fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> on progress of *Salmonella Gallinarum* infection in Japanese quail: clinical signs and hematologic studies. *Avian Dis.* 49(2): 274–280. **EFSA.** 2005. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on contaminants in food chain on a request from the Commission related to fumonisins as undesirable substances in animal feed. *EFSAJ* 235: 1–32.

Fodor J., K. Meyer, C. Gottschalk, R. Mamet, L. Kametler, J. Bauer, P. Horn, F. Kovács and M. Kovács. 2007. *In vitro* microbial metabolism of fumonisin B., *Food Addit. Contam.* 24(4): 416–420.

Frese S.A., K. Parker, C.C. Calvert and D.A. Mills. 2015. Diet shapes the gut microbiome of pigs during nursing and weaning. *Microbiome* 2015(3): 28

**Gomah N.H. and A.N.A. Zohri.** 2014. Inhibition of fungal growth and *Fusarium* toxins by selected cultures of lactic acid bacteria. *J. Microbial. Biochem. Technol.* S7: 001.

Heilig H.G., E.G. Zoetendal, E.E. Vaughan, P. Marteau, A.D.L. Akkermans and W.M. de Vos. 2002. Molecular diversity of *Lactobacillus* spp. and other lactic acid bacteria in the human intestine as determined by specific amplification of 16S ribosomal DNA. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68: 114–123.

Iheshiulor O.O.M., B.O. Esonu, O.K. Chuwuka, A.A. Omede, I.C. Okoli and I.P. Ogbuewu. 2011. Effects of mycotoxins in animal nutrition: A review. *Asian J. Animal Sci.* 5(1): 19–33

**Kim M.S.** 2011. An integrated investigation of ruminal microbial communities using 16S r RNS gene-based techniques, p. 72. PhD Dissertation. The Ohio State University.

Knasmüller S., N. Bresgen, F. Kassie, V. Mersch-Sundermann, W. Gelderblom, E. Zöhrer and P.M. Eckl. 1997. Genotoxic effects of three *Fusarium* mycotoxins, fumonisin  $B_1$ , moniliformin and vomitoxin in bacteria and in primary cultures of rat hepatocytes. *Mutat. Res.* 391(1–2): 39–48.

Marchesi J.R., T. Sato, A.J. Weightman, T.A. Martin, J.C. Fry, S.J. Hiom and W.G. Wade. 1998. Design and Evaluation of Useful Bacterium-Specific PCR Primers That Amplify Genes Coding for Bacterial 16S rRNA. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64(2): 795–799

**Mokoena M.P., P.K. Chelule and N. Gqaleni.** 2005. Reduction of fumonisin  $B_1$  and zearalenone by lactic acid bacteria in fermented maize meal. *J. Food Prot.* 68(10): 2095–2099.

Niderkorn V., D.P. Morgavi, E. Pujos, A. Tissandier and H. Boudra. 2007. Screening of fermentative bacteria for their ability to bind and biotransform deoxynivalenol, zearalenone and fumonisins in an *in vitro* simulated corn silage model. *Food Addit. Contam.* 24(4): 406–415.

Niderkorn V., D.P. Morgavi, B. Aboab, M. Lemaire and H. Boudra. 2009. Cell wall component and mycotoxin moieties involved in the binding of fumonisin  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  by lactic acid bacteria. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 106(3): 977–985.

**Oswald I.P., C. Desautels, J. Laffitte, S. Fournout, S. Peres, M. Odin, P. Le Bars, J. Le Bars and J.M. Fairbrother.** 2003. Mycotoxin fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> increases intestinal colonization by pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in pigs. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69(10): 5870–5874. **Pajarillo E.A., J.P. Chae, M.P. Balolong, H.B. Kim, K.S Seo and D.K. Kang.** 2014. Pyrosequencing-based analysis of fecal microbial communities in three purebred pig lines. *J. Microbiol.* 52(8): 646–651. **Su Y., W. Yao, O.N. Perez-Gutierrez, H. Smidt and W.Y. Zhu.** 2008. Changes in abundance of *Lactobacillus spp.* and *Streptococcus suis* in the stomach, jejunum and ileum of piglets after weaning. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 66(2008): 546–555.

Szabó-Fodor J., C. Dall'Asta, C. Falavigna, M. Kachlek, Á. Szécsi, A. Szabó and M. Kovács. 2014. Determination of the amount of bioaccessible fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> in different matrices after *in vitro* digestion. *World Mycot. J.* 8(3):261–267.

**Taranu I., D.E. Marin, S. Bouhet, F. Pascale, J.D. Bailly, J.D. Miller, P. Pinton and I.P. Oswald.** 2005. Mycotoxin Fumonisin B<sub>1</sub> alters the cytokine profile and decreases the vaccinal antibody titer in pigs. *Toxicol. Sci.* 84: 301–307.

Walter J., G.W. Tannock, A. Tilsala-Timisjarvi, S. Rodtong, D.M. Loach, K. Munro and T. Alatossava. 2000. Detection and identification of gastrointestinal *Lactobacillus* species by using denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis and species-specific PCR primers. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66: 297–303.

Zoghi A., K. Khosravi-Darani and S. Sohrabvandi. 2014. Surface binding of toxins and heavy metals by probiotics. *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.* 14(1): 84–98.